MAIZE SILAGE RESEARCH 2025



CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Long-term breeding delivers higher yields	2
Interpreting the hybrid comparison t-test	3
Hybrid performance comparisons	4
P7179	4
P7364	5
P7647	6
P8086	7
P8240	8
P8333	9
P8666	10
P8711	11
P92575	12
P9400	13
P9650	14
P9911	15
P9978	16
P0640	17
P0710	18
P0900	19
P0891	20
P0937	21
P1315	22
P1636	23
P1837	24
V:.11 1	25





INTRODUCTION

Welcome to the Pioneer Maize Silage Research update for 2025.

Inside, you will find comprehensive hybrid yield data to help growers make informed decisions on which hybrid to plant. Our research programme covers more than just hybrid evaluation. Each year we conduct a range of agronomic, farm system and

environmental research projects. In this publication, we've summarised a recently published paper evaluating summer pasture and chicory yields in the Waikato.



Long-term breeding delivers higher yields

The annual rate of maize silage yield gain in New Zealand is estimated to have been over 300 kgDM/ha/year over almost 60 years (Figure 1). Crop management and genetic improvement have both made significant contributions to yield increases.

To maximise returns, silage growers should look to introduce suitable new hybrids regularly. Desired harvest timing, soil type, cultivation methods and agronomic traits such as early growth, drought tolerance, stalk and root strength, disease resistances and silage quality are all important considerations to include in the hybrid selection process.

The most reliable way to select superior hybrids is to consider trial yield data gathered over several seasons from a wide range of locations within a growing region. An individual on-farm trial result should not be used to select a hybrid because in isolation, it is not a reliable predictor of future

hybrid performance. Hybrids should be planted and harvested at the same time. Trial data should be statistically analysed to determine if there is a real yield difference between the hybrids.

This publication provides a summary of the investment made to evaluate the silage yield performance of Pioneer® and other brands of maize silage hybrids in five defined growing regions;

1) Northland and north Auckland 2) Waikato 3) Bay of Plenty, Gisborne and northern Hawke's Bay 4) Lower North Island and Taranaki 5) South Island.

Summarised hybrid comparison data published in this book has been collected from field trials conducted over one or more growing seasons up to and including the 2024 harvest. The most recent regional Hybrid Performance Information (HPI) can be found at pioneer.nz.




Figure 1: New Zealand maize silage yield trend

Source: New Zealand Year Book (1961 to 1996) and Pioneer® brand products New Zealand Research Programme (1997 to 2021).





Interpreting the hybrid comparison t-test

The table below presents a summary of the possible t-test outcomes.

P value	Confidence level	Scientific designation	Level of significance	Yield advantage	Interpretation	
<0.001	>99.9%	***	Very highly significant	YES	Hybrid superiority for yield can be claimed. Can	
<0.01	>99.0%	**	Highly significant	YES	confidently plant the winning hybrid providing no key agronomic traits are limiting.	
<0.05	>95.0%	*	Significant	YES	Check the trait ratings for any considerations.	
<0.10	>90.0%	CA	Commercially acceptable	YES	May be regarded as a commercially acceptable basis for a decision.	
>0.10	<90.0%	NS	Not significant	NO	Hybrid superiority for yield cannot be claimed. Ignore the yield comparison and refer primarily to important trait ratings to select between the hybrids.	

The more stars (*) present for the comparison, the more confident we can be that the measured average yield difference is due to an actual genetic yield difference between the two hybrids rather than just chance.

Where a result is commercially acceptable **(CA)**, the P value is <0.10 indicating the result is suitable for making a hybrid decision based on yield. Key agronomic traits must always be considered.

Where a result is not significant **(NS)**, we cannot conclude there is a yield difference between the hybrids. There are two principle explanations;

- Where the yields are very similar and the comparison has been made over a large number of locations, no significance may indicate there is little measurable difference between the two hybrids or;
- 2. Where there appears to be a large yield difference, no significance likely indicates there are too few trial locations, or there have been inconsistent or fluctuating results. It is therefore not possible to indicate that the difference is real.

In both instances above, growers should use regionally important hybrid trait ratings to select which hybrid to plant.

In other comparisons, yield differences may appear to be relatively small but still achieve significance – this happens in cases where yield data quality is high, and the number of trial locations is large.

An analysis of statistical significance is carried out on all Pioneer hybrid comparisons, and we take great care to base our product yield statements and recommendations on the outcome.







Quickest option for the coolest growing regions.

Feature hybrid	Comparison hybrid	Number of trials	Drymatter difference (%) ¹	Yield advantage to P7364 (kgDM/ha) ²	Statistical significance ³
		NATIO	NAL		
P7179	P7124	26	5.75	-1,659	**
P7179	P7364	42	3.29	-2,344	***
P7179	P7524	37	6.58	-1,419	**
	SOUTH ISLAND				
P7179	P7124	12	4.16	-1,608	CA
P7179	P7364	19	3.15	-2,510	***
P7179	P7524	16	4.68	-1,694	*
		LOWER NOR	TH ISLAND		
P7179	P7124	14	7.12	-1,703	*
P7179	P7364	23	3.41	-2,206	***
P7179	P7524	21	8.03	-1,209	*





Recommended established plant populations (000's/ha)			
Challenging yield environments	110		
Medium yield environments	120		
High yield environments	130		





The new standard for yield & earliness.

Feature hybrid	Comparison hybrid	Number of trials	Drymatter difference (%) ¹	Yield advantage to P7364 (kgDM/ha) ²	Statistical significance ³
		NATIO	NAL		
P7364	P7179	42	-3.29	2,344	***
P7364	P7524	55	3.58	708	*
P7364	P7647	42	0.61	-661	*
	SOUTH ISLAND				
P7364	P7179	19	-3.15	2,510	***
P7364	P7524	24	1.02	904	NS
P7364	P7647	19	0.13	-875	NS
	1	LOWER NORTH ISL	AND & TARANA	KI	
P7364	P7179	23	-3.41	2,206	***
P7364	P7524	31	5.56	556	NS
P7364	P7647	23	1.01	-484	NS





Recommended established plant populations (000's/ha)			
Challenging yield environments	110		
Medium yield environments	120		
High yield environments	130		





Delivers superior yields of top-quality silage.

Feature hybrid	Comparison hybrid	Number of trials	Drymatter difference (%) ¹	Yield advantage to P7364 (kgDM/ha) ²	Statistical significance ³
		NATIO	NAL		
P7647	P7364	42	-0.61	661	*
P7647	P7524	37	2.57	1,640	***
P7647	P8000	32	3.82	378	NS
P7647	P8086	31	3.56	-1,656	***
	SOUTH ISLAND				
P7647	P7364	19	-0.13	875	NS
P7647	P7524	16	1.17	1,961	*
P7647	P8000	15	3.52	316	NS
P7647	P8086	13	2.91	-1,081	CA
	1	OWER NORTH ISL	AND & TARANA	KI	
P7647	P7364	23	-1.01	484	NS
P7647	P7524	21	3.64	1,395	**
P7647	P8000	17	4.09	433	NS
P7647	P8086	18	4.03	-2,070	***





Recommended established plant populations (000's/ha)				
Challenging yield environments	105			
Medium yield environments	110			
High yield environments	115			





Reliable early hybrid with excellent feed value.

Feature hybrid	Comparison hybrid	Number of trials	Drymatter difference (%) ¹	Yield advantage to P7364 (kgDM/ha) ²	Statistical significance ³
		SOUTH I	SLAND		
P8086	P7647	13	-2.91	1,081	CA
P8086	P8000	18	0.43	1,106	*
P8086	P8240	18	3.21	-270	NS
P8086	P8333	18	1.09	-1,370	**
	LOWER NORTH ISLAND & TARANAKI				
P8086	P7647	18	-4.02	2,070	***
P8086	P8000	20	-1.17	2,266	***
P8086	P8240	22	1.22	-1,041	CA
P8086	P8333	21	-0.46	-436	NS
		NATIO	NAL		
P8086	P7647	31	-3.56	1,656	***
P8086	P8000	38	-0.41	1,717	***
P8086	P8240	52	2.04	-669	CA
P8086	P8333	42	0.44	-845	**





Recommended established plant populations (000's/ha)				
Challenging yield environments	105			
Medium yield environments	115			
High yield environments	125			



Bulk and energy to fill the vat.

Feature hybrid	Comparison hybrid	Number of trials	Drymatter difference (%) ¹	Yield advantage to P7364 (kgDM/ha) ²	Statistical significance ³
		NATIO	NAL		
P8240	P8086	52	-2.04	653	CA
P8240	P8333	94	-1.41	213	NS
P8240	P8666	115	0.26	104	NS
	SOUTH ISLAND				
P8240	P8086	18	-3.21	270	NS
P8240	P8333	39	-1.24	-639	CA
P8240	P8666	40	0.27	-725	*
	ı	OWER NORTH ISL	AND & TARANA	KI	
P8240	P8086	22	-0.45	1,041	CA
P8240	P8333	37	-1.84	1,099	**
P8240	P8666	43	-0.16	358	NS
	WAIKATO				
P8240	P8086	12	-0.97	517	NS





Recommended established plant populations (000's/ha)			
Challenging yield environments	100		
Medium yield environments	110		
High yield environments	120		







Highly productive mid-maturity option.

Feature hybrid	Comparison hybrid	Number of trials	Drymatter difference (%) ¹	Yield advantage to P7364 (kgDM/ha) ²	Statistical significance ³
		NATIO	NAL		
P8333	P8086	42	-0.44	845	**
P8333	P8240	94	1.41	-213	NS
P8333	P8666	135	1.38	-700	***
P8333	P8711	58	4.33	-873	***
	SOUTH ISLAND				
P8333	P8086	18	-1.09	1,370	**
P8333	P8240	39	1.24	639	CA
P8333	P8666	48	1.04	-413	NS
P8333	P8711	20	3.98	203	NS
	1	LOWER NORTH ISL	AND & TARANA	KI	
P8333	P8086	21	0.26	436	NS
P8333	P8240	37	1.58	-1,099	**
P8333	P8666	60	1.44	-714	*
P8333	P8711	21	3.71	-713	CA





Recommended established plant populations (000's/ha)			
Challenging yield environments	100		
Medium yield environments	110		
High yield environments	115		



Grows well, yields very well and feeds even better.

Feature hybrid	Comparison hybrid	Number of trials	Drymatter difference (%) ¹	Yield advantage to P7364 (kgDM/ha) ²	Statistical significance ³
		NATIO	NAL		
P8666	P8240	115	-0.26	-104	NS
P8666	P8333	135	-1.38	700	***
P8666	P8711	125	2.47	-87	NS
	WAIKATO				
P8666	P8240	28	-0.72	-879	NS
P8666	P8333	25	-2.03	1,200	**
P8666	P8711	36	2.80	-1,347	***
	I	LOWER NORTH ISL	AND & TARANA	KI	
P8666	P8240	43	0.00	-358	NS
P8666	P8333	60	-1.44	714	*
P8666	P8711	55	2.24	319	NS
		SOUTH I	SLAND		
P8666	P8240	40	-0.27	725	*
P8666	P8333	48	-1.04	413	NS
P8666	P8711	28	1.44	911	NS





Recommended established plant populations (000's/ha)				
Challenging yield environments	100			
Medium yield environments	110			
High yield environments	115			







Yield with superb quality for Northern Regions.

Feature hybrid	Comparison hybrid	Number of trials	Drymatter difference (%) ¹	Yield advantage to P7364 (kgDM/ha) ²	Statistical significance ³
		NATIC	NAL		
P8711	P8333	58	-4.33	873	**
P8711	P8666	125	-2.47	87	NS
		WAIK	ATO		
P8711	P8666	36	-2.80	1,347	***
	LOWER NORTH ISLAND & TARANAKI				
P8711	P8333	21	-3.71	713	CA
P8711	P8666	55	-2.24	-319	NS
SOUTH ISLAND					
P8711	P8333	20	-3.98	-203	NS
P8711	P8666	28	-1.44	-911	NS





Recommended established plant populations (000's/ha)			
Challenging yield environments	100		
Medium yield environments	110		
High yield environments	115		





Solid, balanced hybrid, with top-of-the-line foliar health.

Feature hybrid	Comparison hybrid	Number of trials	Drymatter difference (%) ¹	Yield advantage to P7364 (kgDM/ha) ²	Statistical significance ³
		NATIO	NAL		
P92575	P9127	52	-2.75	154	NS
P92575	P9400	93	-1.47	664	***
P92575	P9650	62	-0.75	235	NS
	WAIKATO				
P92575	P9127	25	-3.53	787	NS
P92575	P9400	50	-1.90	985	***
P92575	P9650	33	-0.66	318	NS
	LOWER NORTH ISLAND & TARANAKI				
P92575	P9127	24	-2.05	-394	NS
P92575	P9400	35	-0.91	329	NS
P92575	P9650	22	-0.62	583	CA





Recommended established plant populations (000's/ha)			
Challenging yield environments	95		
Medium yield environments	110		
High yield environments	120		







Stands tall – delivers big time.

Feature hybrid	Comparison hybrid	Number of trials	Drymatter difference (%) ¹	Yield advantage to P7364 (kgDM/ha) ²	Statistical significance ³
		NATIO	ONAL		
P9400	P92575	93	-0.03	-664	***
P9400	P9650	59	1.74	-522	CA
P9400	P9721	246	0.81	-50	NS
	WAIKATO				
P9400	P92575	50	1.90	-985	***
P9400	P9650	31	0.98	-806	*
P9400	P9721	109	2.41	1	NS
	LOWER NORTH ISLAND & TARANAKI				
P9400	P92575	35	0.91	-329	NS
P9400	P9650	24	0.44	-347	NS
P9400	P9721	121	1.94	-66	NS





Recommended established plant populations (000's/ha)		
100		
108		
115		





Security with performance.

Feature hybrid	Comparison hybrid	Number of trials	Drymatter difference (%) ¹	Yield advantage to P7364 (kgDM/ha) ²	Statistical significance ³
		NATIC	NAL		
P9650	P92575	62	0.75	-235	NS
P9650	P9400	59	-0.66	522	CA
P9650	P9911	42	2.36	-1,080	**
P9650	P9978	53	1.87	-1,127	***
	WAIKATO				
P9650	P92575	33	0.66	-318	NS
P9650	P9400	31	-0.98	806	*
P9650	P9911	21	1.20	91	NS
P9650	P9978	24	1.44	-978	*
	ı	OWER NORTH ISL	AND & TARANAI	KI	
P9650	P92575	22	0.62	-583	CA
P9650	P9400	24	-0.44	347	NS
P9650	P9911	21	3.52	-2,252	***
P9650	P9978	25	2.38	-1,554	***





Recommended established plant populations (000's/ha)			
Challenging yield environments	95		
Medium yield environments	110		
High yield environments	120		









Top yielding, drought buster.

Feature hybrid	Comparison hybrid	Number of trials	Drymatter difference (%) ¹	Yield advantage to P7364 (kgDM/ha) ²	Statistical significance ³	
		NATIO	ONAL			
P9911	P0362	136	1.03	75	NS	
P9911	P9650	42	-2.36	1,080	**	
P9911	P9721	258	-1.84	1,307	***	
P9911	P9978	121	-1.24	-417	*	
	WAIKATO					
P9911	P0362	73	1.46	67	NS	
P9911	P9650	21	-1.20	-91	NS	
P9911	P9978	53	-0.85	-612	*	
	ı	OWER NORTH ISL	AND & TARANA	KI		
P9911	P0362	51	0.49	204	NS	
P9911	P9650	21	-3.52	2,252	***	
P9911	P9978	58	-1.38	-99	NS	





Recommended established plant populations (000's/ha)				
Challenging yield environments	100			
Medium yield environments	108			
High yield environments	115			



Defensive. Stable. Productive.

Feature hybrid	Comparison hybrid	Number of trials	Drymatter difference (%) ¹	Yield advantage to P7364 (kgDM/ha) ²	Statistical significance ³	
		NATIO	NAL			
P9978	P0362	79	2.68	-159	NS	
P9978	P9650	53	-1.87	1,127	***	
P9978	P9721	53	-0.43	1,402	***	
P9978	P9911	121	1.24	417	*	
	NORTHLAND					
P9978	P9911	10	2.52	1,233	*	
	WAIKATO					
P9978	P0362	44	2.86	-473	CA	
P9978	P9650	24	-1.44	978	*	
P9978	P9911	53	0.85	612	*	
	LOWER NORTH ISLAND & TARANAKI					
P9978	P9650	30	2.12	220	NS	
P9978	P9721	25	-2.38	1,554	***	
P9978	P9911	58	1.38	99	NS	





Recommended established plant populations (000's/ha)				
Challenging yield environments	95			
Medium yield environments	110			
High yield environments	120			







Leaf disease champion delivering silage yield stability.

Feature hybrid	Comparison hybrid	Number of trials	Drymatter difference (%) ¹	Yield advantage to P7364 (kgDM/ha) ²	Statistical significance ³	
		NATIO	NAL			
P0640	P0362	80	-1.09	1,077	***	
P0640	P0900	85	0.60	662	*	
P0640	P0937	91	1.78	1,134	***	
P0640	P1315	37	1.58	-38	NS	
	NORTHLAND & SOUTH AUCKLAND					
P0640	P0891	29	-2.76	-262	NS	
P0640	P0900	12	-0.77	433	NS	
P0640	P0937	12	0.10	701	NS	
		WAIK	ATO			
P0640	P0362	58	-0.79	1,417	***	
P0640	P0900	65	0.97	891	**	
P0640	P0937	75	1.86	1,295	***	
P0640	P1315	30	2.01	-34	NS	





Recommended established plant populations (000's/ha)				
Challenging yield environments	95			
Medium yield environments	105			
High yield environments	110			







Exceptional foliar health and yield stability – wet or dry!

Feature hybrid	Comparison hybrid	Number of trials	Drymatter difference (%) ¹	Yield advantage to P7364 (kgDM/ha) ²	Statistical significance ³
NATIONAL					
P0710	P0900	48	1.43	-290	NS
P0710	P0937	35	2.59	457	CA





Recommended established plant populations (000's/ha)				
Challenging yield environments	85			
Medium yield environments	95			
High yield environments	115			







Reliable veteran.

Feature hybrid	Comparison hybrid	Number of trials	Drymatter difference (%) ¹	Yield advantage to P7364 (kgDM/ha) ²	Statistical significance ³	
		NATIO	NAL			
P0891	P0640	143	1.45	6	NS	
P0891	P0900	82	1.62	-451	NS	
P0891	P0937	113	3.12	-25	NS	
P0891	P1315	27	2.55	-2,245	***	
	NORTHLAND					
P0891	P0640	29	2.76	262	NS	
P0891	P0900	13	1.65	539	NS	
P0891	P0937	19	3.14	1,481	***	
		WAIK	ATO			
P0891	P0640	115	0.98	-394	CA	
P0891	P0900	68	1.39	-843	*	
P0891	P0937	89	2.66	-543	*	
P0891	P1315	36	2.34	-2,234	***	





Recommended established plant populations (000's/ha)				
Challenging yield environments	95			
Medium yield environments	105			
High yield environments	110			





A proven, stable, all-round hybrid.

Feature hybrid	Comparison hybrid	Number of trials	Drymatter difference (%) ¹	Yield advantage to P7364 (kgDM/ha) ²	Statistical significance ³	
		NATIO	ONAL			
P0900	P0640	85	-0.60	-662	*	
P0900	P0710	48	-1.03	-290	NS	
P0900	P0937	117	1.24	285	NS	
P0900	P1315	53	0.57	-448	NS	
P0900	P1636	50	2.48	-1,588	***	
	NORTHLAND					
P0900	P0640	12	0.69	-433	NS	
P0900	P0937	17	1.59	-389	NS	
		WAIK	CATO			
P0900	P0710	40	-1.30	193	NS	
P0900	P0891	68	-1.39	843	**	
P0900	P0937	88	0.96	520	*	
P0900	P1315	49	0.61	-550	CA	
	BAY OF PLENTY					
P0900	P0937	11	2.85	-537	NS	





Recommended established plant populations (000's/ha)				
Challenging yield environments	85			
Medium yield environments	95			
High yield environments	115			







Solid hybrid with great standability and foliar health.

Feature hybrid	Comparison hybrid	Number of trials	Drymatter difference (%) ¹	Yield advantage to P7364 (kgDM/ha) ²	Statistical significance ³		
	NATIONAL						
P0937	P0640	91	-1.78	-1,134	***		
P0937	P0710	35	-2.59	-457	CA		
P0937	P0900	117	-1.24	-285	NS		
P0937	P1315	57	-0.69	-1,504	***		
	NORTHLAND						
P0937	P0640	12	-0.98	-701	NS		
P0937	P0891	19	-3.14	-1,481	**		
P0937	P0900	17	-1.59	389	NS		
		WAIK	ATO				
P0937	P0640	75	-1.86	-1,295	***		
P0937	P0900	88	-0.96	-520	*		
P0937	P1315	51	-0.41	-1,338	***		
	BAY	OF PLENTY, GISBO	RNE & HAWKE'	S BAY			
P0937	P0900	11	-2.85	537	NS		





Recommended established plant populations (000's/ha)				
Challenging yield environments	90			
Medium yield environments	100			
High yield environments	115			



Defensive from Northland to Hawke's Bay.

Feature hybrid	Comparison hybrid	Number of trials Drymatter difference (%) ¹		Yield advantage to P7364 (kgDM/ha) ²	Statistical significance ³	
		NATIO	NAL			
P1315	P1185	9	0.74	-765	NS	
P1315	P1636	25	2.11	257	NS	
WAIKATO						
P1315	P0891	36	-2.34	2,234	***	
P1315	P0900	49	-0.61	550	CA	
P1315	P0937	51	0.41	1,338	***	
P1315	P1636	58	1.88	-774	*	
	BAY OF PLENTY					
P1315	P1477W	25	2.51	-785	NS	
P1315	P1636	25	1.81	-519	NS	





Recommended established plant populations (000's/ha)				
Challenging yield environments	80			
Medium yield environments	90			
High yield environments	100			





Enjoy the agronomics of this top-yielding hybrid.

Feature hybrid	Comparison hybrid	Number of trials Drymatter difference (%) ¹		Yield advantage to P7364 (kgDM/ha) ²	Statistical significance ³		
NATIONAL							
P1636	P0900	50	50 -2.48		***		
P1636	P0937	66	-1.03	1,979	***		
P1636	P1315	108	-1.92	476	CA		
P1636	P1477W	155	1.11	-474	*		
P1636	P1837	53	1.62	681	*		
		NORTHLAND & SO	OUTH AUCKLANI				
P1636	P1185	7	-1.34	-1,679	CA		
P1636	P1315	25	-2.11	-257	NS		
P1636	P1477W	41	0.11	-873	CA		
P1636	P1837	13	1.27	859	NS		
	WAIKATO						
P1636	P0900	46	-2.57	1,761	***		
P1636	P0937	55	-1.32	2,134	***		
P1636	P1315	58	-1.88	774	*		
P1636	P1477W	75	1.80	-125	NS		
P1636	P1837	30	2.07	683	NS		
	BAY	OF PLENTY, GISBO	RNE & HAWKE	S BAY			
P1636	P1315	25	-2.31	519	NS		
P1636	P1477W	39	-1.92	-725	NS		
P1636	P1837	10	0.91	446	NS		





Recommended established plant populations (000's/ha)				
Challenging yield environments	95			
Medium yield environments	105			
High yield environments	110			



Defensive full-season giant.

Feature hybrid	Comparison hybrid	Number of trials	Drymatter difference (%) ¹	Yield advantage to P7364 (kgDM/ha) ²	Statistical significance ³
		NATIO	NAL		
P1837	P1477W	54	-0.22	-1,226	**
P1837	P1636	53	-1.62	-681	*
WAIKATO					
P1837	P1477W	33	-0.07	-987	CA
P1837	P1636	30	-2.07	-683	NS
BAY OF PLENTY, GISBORNE & HAWKE'S BAY					
P1837	P1477W	11	-1.53	-1,252	NS
P1837	P1636	13	-1.27	-859	NS





Recommended established plant populations (000's/ha)			
Challenging yield environments	70		
Medium yield environments	80		
High yield environments	90		

YIELD AND ECONOMIC VALUE OF CHICORY GROWN ON FIVE WAIKATO DAIRY FARMS¹

Introduction

Chicory has become a popular forage crop for dairy farmers due to its high expected yield and nutritional benefits for livestock over the summer months. Outside of being part of a pasture renewal program, farmers have opted to grow chicory to supply quality feed (energy and protein) during the summer months when pasture yield and quality is expected to decline on most dairy farms.

However, there is little information available to quantify the drymatter yield of pure chicory swards vs pasture when included in a grazed farm system. Given the costs associated with establishing chicory, and that farmers ideally require a minimum of 10% of the farm area in chicory to supply >3kg DM/cow, it is important to know whether chicory is yielding as expected and improving farm productivity.

The objective of this trial was to determine chicory and pasture yields from October to May in dairy systems and to consider the cropping costs against alternative options to supply summer feed.

Method

The study was conducted during the 2022-23 and 2023-24 seasons on five commercial dairy farms between Hamilton and Te Awamutu in the Waikato. The farms varied in scale, system intensity and proportion of chicory inclusion (Table 1). Chicory crops were established between the 28th September and 20th October and sprayed out between the 12th March and 19th April of the following year before pasture renewal. Chicory was primarily strip grazed 3-6 times between December and April, providing 1 to 3 kg DM of the daily dairy cow diet during the summer period.

Farm	Farm area (ha)	Number of cows	Stocking rate	Chicory area (ha)	Farm system (1-5)
Α	52	165	3.2	5	3
В	124	415	3.4	15	5
С	213	850	4.0	36	5
D	140	535	3.8	7	4
E	92	316	3.4	4	2

Table 1: Trial farm system details.

In spring, designated chicory paddocks were sprayed out, and five herbage cages (1 m2) were placed onto the chicory paddocks after they were sown. Five herbage cages were placed on an adjacent permanent pasture paddock that represented average pasture production on the farm. These cages prevented dairy cows from grazing, allowing for the assessment of drymatter production without animal interference. After each grazing event of the pasture paddocks, the vegetation under each cage was mown to a height of 4 cm using a standard lawnmower. Once the chicory paddocks were established and had grown sufficiently to be grazed (December-April), the same measurement method was applied.

Cut grass and chicory were collected and weighed to determine the fresh weight. A subsample was submitted to a commercial laboratory for drymatter assessment. After samples were collected, cages were moved onto the grazed area so regrowth was representative of the grazed area.

Chicory cuts finished when the paddock was sprayed out. Pasture cage cuts were taken at every grazing event until 1st May, when the chicory paddocks returned to the grazing rotation.


Results

During the 2022/23 summer, January-March rainfall was 369 mm (Waikato Regional Council environmental data hub), 185% of the long-term average (199mm). In the 2023/24 summer, Jan-Mar rainfall dropped back to 219 mm, 110% of the long-term average. Consequently, monthly pasture growth rates (Jan-Mar) for the 2022/23 and 2023/24 seasons were consistently higher than the expected average (based on pasture growth rate data from nearby Owl Farm).



Growth rates

On average, the chicory area produced substantially less yield than perennial ryegrass during the establishment phase from October to December and a similar yield from January to March (Figure 1).



Over the two years of the trial, chicory produced an average of 6,771 kg DM/ha, while perennial ryegrass yielded an average of 11,508 kg DM/ha, meaning that chicory yielded around 4,700 kg DM/ha less than pasture per year (Figure 2).




Figure 2: Pasture and chicory yield results Oct-May.

Year 2024

Financial

Over the two years of the trial, the average onfarm establishment cost for chicory was \$1,052/ ha and ranged between \$740-\$1,582/ha (Table 2). The most notable drivers behind the wide range in establishment costs were whether starter fertiliser was applied, the post-emergent weed control program and in the case of Farm D, having to replant the chicory crop due to failed germination.

	Year	Spray out (\$/ha)	Fertiliser (\$/ha)	Planting (\$/ha)	Post- emergence weed control (\$/ha)	Total cost (\$/ha)	Chicory yield (†DM/ha)
Farm A	22/23	\$135.12	\$243.05	\$634.80	\$0.00	\$1,012.97	6.2
rarm A	23/24	\$115.00	\$119.50	\$676.85	\$0.00	\$911.35	7.4
Farman D	22/23						5.2
Farm B	23/24	\$96.16	\$130.00	\$581.60	\$131.00	\$938.76	6.7
Farm C	22/23	\$114.85	\$0.00	\$530.55	\$94.79	\$740.19	4.6
rarm C	23/24	\$92.15	\$0.00	\$655.44	\$97.20	\$844.79	6.1
F D	22/23	\$113.23	\$0.00	\$475.44	\$371.10	\$959.77	6.3
Farm D	23/24	\$110.11	\$0.00	\$870.42	\$601.19	\$1,581.72	8.6
Farmer F	22/23						8.5
Farm E	23/24	\$161.50	\$258.65	\$859.50	\$147.00	\$1,426.65	8.0

Table 2: Breakdown of per-hectare establishment costs.





Discussion and conclusions

Farmers reasons for growing chicory include managing spring pasture surpluses, feeding youngstock, improving summer feed quantity and quality, weed control and assisting with pasture renewal.

During the two trial seasons, growing chicory shifted a surplus of feed from spring to summer/autumn but did not increase total drymatter production above that achieved from a well-managed ryegrass-clover pasture.

Long-term average pasture analysis data collected from ten Waikato dairy farms between January and March over 12 seasons shows an average energy content of 11.2 MJ/kg DM and crude protein of 24.8% (pers comm. Tim Sandbrook, Open Country Dairy). While this is slightly less energy (12.5 MJ/kg DM) and more protein (20.6%) than previously measured in chicory, it is still sufficient to support mid-to-late lactation milk production.

When the opportunity cost of lost pasture production was considered, chicory became uneconomic because it produced less drymatter than the pasture.

When considering feed quantity, quality and establishment costs over the two years of the trial, farmers would have been better off to use the money invested in chicory to purchase a high-quality protein

concentrate (e.g., dried distiller's grain) to provide additional energy and protein if required. Imported feed is more flexible and could be used when needed, at the required rates to strategically fill feed gaps without displacing pasture production.

The improvement in pasture production as a flowon effect from growing a chicory crop was not included in the scope of this trial. If pastures had "run out" and were more than 40% below full production, then growing chicory followed by sowing new pasture would have a more positive impact on profitability, but would need to be balanced against alternative methods of pasture renewal. To ensure the best chance of a higher-yielding chicory crop, establishment costs should not be skimped.

Based on this analysis, for chicory to be an economically viable crop, farmers would have to have experienced a 30% reduction in pasture production (Oct-May) and a 30% lift in chicory production. This is a significant yield gap to bridge.

Acknowledgements

We greatly appreciate the five farmers involved and thank Logan Dawson, Andy Macky, Andrew McPherson, Dave Swney and David Warren for their time and feedback throughout the trial. Thanks also to David Baird for assistance with statistical analysis of the data.

¹Bell, W.; Journeaux, P. 2024. Yield and economic value of chicory grown on five Waikato dairy farms. Proceedings of the Australasian Dairy Science Symposium 2024





talk to us 0800 746 633



more online at pioneer.nz



youtube.com/pioneerbrandproductsnz



facebook.com/pioneerbrandproducts

Genetic Technologies Limited, Gisborne Office

328 Lytton Road, PO Box 214, Gisborne 4040. Phone: 06 869 0660 Fax: 06 867 0083

Pioneer® brand products are provided subject to the terms and conditions of purchasing, which are part of the labelling and purchase documents.

9. TM. 3M Trademarks and service marks of Dow AgroSciences, DuPont or Pioneer, and their affiliated companies or their respective owners.

*2025 Corteva. *2025, Genetic Technologies Limited. All Rights Reserved.

The information in this publication is general in nature only. Although the information in this publication is believed to be accurate, no liability (whether as a result of negligence or otherwise) is accepted for any loss of any kind that may arise from actions based on the contents of this publication. ©2025, Genetic Technologies Limited. No part of this publication can be reproduced without prior written consent from Genetic Technologies Limited.

